# The Best Baseball Season Ever? A Triple Crown Perspective 
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Triple Crown "Closeness"
Using the specified Triple Crown categories we employed several different
statistical merics to examine major ceague hitters in an effort to identify the best statistical metrics to examine major league hitters in an effirt to identify the best
overall seasons. The following comparative measures reppesent the most interesting measures of "best" hat we found:
Sum of Z-scores - summing a players z-score from each category to identify the highest summed $Z$-scores throughout all seasons.

A $Z$-score is calculated by: $\mathrm{Z}=(x-\mu) / \sigma$ where $x$ is the players actual
number (BA, RBI, or HR ), $\mu$ is the league average, and $\sigma$ is the league standard deviation. The table below shows the best 10 seasons based on the sum of Z-scores from all three categories. Of the triple crown winners in the first table, Nap Lajoie is identified as the strongest via this metric

Using this metric allows for us to determine the relative amount by which players were better than the "average" player frion their season. We can use
theses to compare players seasons since seasonal effects have been removed. One disadvantage of this metric is that the player with the highest summed Z-score achieved it mostly with a single category. Babe Ruth was so much
better at hitting home runs than every other player of the 1919 season that better at hitting home runs than every other player of the 1919 season that
he earned a ridiculously high Z-score of 9.45 for HR's. On the other hand he earned a ridiculously high Z -score of 9.45 for HR 's. On the other hand
he wasn't remotely close to winning a triple crown.

| Player | Year | AVG | HR | RBI | Z_AVG | Z_HR | Z_RBI | Z Sum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pabe | 1919 | 322 | 20 | 114 | 1.41 | 0.45 | 2.64 | 13.49 | Babe Ruth $\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { Gavy Cravath } & 1915 & .285 & 24 & 115 & 2.55 & 3.37 & 6.79 & 12.71 \\ \text { Ernie Banks } & 1958 & 313 & 47 & 129 & 3.50 & 2.92 & 5.38 & 11.80\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Ty Cobb } & 1917 & .383 & 6 & 102 & 7.02 & 1.63 & 2.81 & 11.46\end{array}$ | Jeff Bagwell | 1994 | .368 | 39 | 116 | 3.28 | 4.48 | 3.31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Babe Ruth | 1924 | .378 | 46 | 121 | 4.11 | 4.50 | 2.07 | Babe Ruth

Barry Bonds \begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Barry Bonds \& 2002 \& .370 \& 46 \& 110 \& 5.14 \& 3.84 \& 1.26 \& 10.23 <br>
\hline

 

\hline Nap Lajoie \& 1901 \& .426 \& 14 \& 125 \& 3.84 \& 3.46 <br>
2.88 \& 10.18 <br>
\hline Frank Baker \& 1912 \& .347 \& 10 \& 130 \& 2.30 \& 4.42 <br>
\hline .00 \& 9.72 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Chuck Klein } & 1933 & .368 & 28 & 120 & 3.09 & 3.89 & 2.74 & 9.72\end{array}$

Minimum of Z-scores - rather than the sum, only considering the player'
best category means that they must be a well-rounded player to make the list.

- A "high" minimum Z-score indicates a great season in regard to all three - A high minimum $Z$-score indicates a great season in regard to all three
triple crown categories. We believe that this method may be an ideal choice in comparing triple crown winners. The chart below contains those rankings.

The 1919 season of Babe Ruth falls completely off the list due to the less
impressive Z-score for average. On the other hand, Jeff Bagwell looks impressive $Z$-score for average. On the other hand, Je
more impressive with a minimum $Z$-score of 3.28 in 1994 .

| Player | Year | Z_AVG | Z_HR | Z_RBI | Min_Z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nap Lajoie | 1901 | 3.839 | 3.464 | 2.876 | 2.876 |
| Chuck Klein | 1933 | 3.085 | 3.893 | 2.740 | 2.740 |
| Joe Medwick | 1937 | 2.440 | 2.399 | 2.450 | 2.399 |
| Lou Gehrig | 1934 | 2.512 | 2.829 | 2.123 | 2.123 |
| Rogers Hornsby | 1922 | 2.631 | 3.166 | 1.967 | 1.967 |
| Ty Cobb | 1909 | 2.606 | 3.069 | 1.841 | 1.841 |
| Ted Williams | 1942 | 3.523 | 2.249 | 1.705 | 1.705 |
| Carl Yastrzemski | 1967 | 3.041 | 2.691 | 1.701 | 1.701 |
| Jimme Foxx | 1933 | 2.656 | 2.569 | 1.690 | 1.690 |
| Rogers Hornsby | 1925 | 3.480 | 2.798 | 1.522 | 1.522 |
| Frank Robinson | 1966 | 2.854 | 2.256 | 1.492 | 1.492 |
| Jimmie Foxx | 1932 | 1.803 | 2.537 | 1.160 | 1.160 |
| Ted Williams | 1947 | 2.649 | 2.086 | 1.083 | 1.083 |
| Mickey Mantle | 1956 | 2.085 | 2.407 | 0.961 | 0.961 |

Our second goal was to measure the "closeness" of a hitter's season to winning the Triple Crown. We examined several statistical measures of "closeness", the
most interesting of which are presented below. Sum of Ranks - this represents the sum of each player's ranks in each of the three triple crown categories.

Triple Crown winners each have a rank-sum of three since they were 1s $^{\text {st }}$
each category. (Note: they cannot be distinguished by their rank-sum.) The table below identifies the ten best hitter-seasons for which a hitter di not win the triple crown. Not surprisingly, each of these players had a rank-
sum of four (i.e. finished first in two categories and second in one category). sum of four ( i.e. . finished first in two categories and second in one category).
Bold entries indicate their winning categories.

| Player | Year | Lg | Team. | AVG | HR | RBI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al Rosen | 1953 | AL | CLE | .336 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ |
| Johnny Mize | 1940 | NL | SLN | .314 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ |
| Babe Ruth | 1926 | AL | NYA | .372 | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ |
| Gavvy Cravath | 1913 | NL | PHI | .341 | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ |
| Babe Ruth | 1923 | AL | NYA | .393 | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ |
| Babe Ruth | 1924 | AL | NYA | $\mathbf{. 3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 121 |
| Rogers Hornsby | 1921 | NL | SLN | $\mathbf{. 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ |
| Cy Seymour | 1905 | NL | CIN | $\mathbf{. 3 7 7}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ |
| Jimmie Foxx | 1938 | AL | BOS | $\mathbf{. 3 4 9}$ | 50 | $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ |
| Honus Wagner | 1908 | NL | PIT | $\mathbf{. 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | Euclidean Distance (D) - This metric allow

hitter to a "fictitious" Triple Crown winner:

Noting that triple crown winners have $\mathrm{D}=0$, the next best seasons according to
this metric are Ted Williams (1949), Johnny Mize (1940), Al Rosen (1953), and this metric are Ted
Stan Musial (1948).

Necessary Additional Hits (NAH) - This metric allows for us to estimate the number of additional hits a player might have needed to win the Triple Crown. is calculated via the maximum of the following NAH value.

Smaller NAH means the player was "closer" to winning the Triple Crow Triple crown winners have $\mathrm{NAH}=0$.
Sixteen players throughout MLB history achieved NAH <
these (listed below) were within five hits of the triple crown.

| Player | Year | AVG | HR | RBI | AVG | HR | RBI | NAH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ted Williams | 1949 | 0.343 | 43 | 159 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Al Rosen | 1953 | 0.336 | 43 | 145 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Johnny Mize | 1940 | 0.314 | 43 | 137 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Babe Ruth | 1926 | 0.372 | 47 | 150 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Gavvy Cravath | 1913 | 0.341 | 19 | 128 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Dick Allen | 1972 | 0.308 | 37 | 113 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Hank Aaron | 1963 | 0.319 | 44 | 130 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

Our final objective is to identify the best hitters throughout MLB history from a Triple Crown perspective. Using the concept of NAH, we developed
a similar Longevity Metric (LNAH) that allows us to rank and determine the greatest all-time career hitters based upon the Triple Crown categories.

$$
L N A H=\sum_{\text {seasons }} e^{-\frac{N A H}{k}}
$$

This function was based on the following ideas:
Seasonal contributions should be summed for each player. The maximum individual season contribution will be 1 and this can only
be obtained by winning the Triple Crown be obtained by winning the Triple Crown.
A player should neither be rewarded nor penalized for seasons in
which they had a large seasons, late career decline, etc.)
Additional technical considerations include:
Euler's constant ( $\mathrm{e} \approx 2.72$ ) is used to achieve exponential decay -The constant $(k>0)$ adjusts the rate of decay and is somewhat - subjectively chosen.
$\qquad$ "lose" to winning the TTiple Crow Triple Crown still receeive substantial credit- were "far" from winning the The erraph below illustrates the affect of the constant $k$ on the seasonal
contribution to LNAH.


Based on LNAH $(k=10)$, our final rankings for the current top 20 all-time greatest hitters w

| Player | LNAH |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ted Williams | 3.672 |
| Babe Ruth | 2.863 |
| Rogers Hornsby | 2.233 |
| ${ }^{\text {Jimmie Foxx }}$ | 2.993 |
| Chuck Klein | 1.766 |
| Nap Lajoie | 1.302 |
| Mickey Mantle | 1.281 |
| Lou Gehrig | 1.209 |
| Hank Aaron | 1.176 |
| Frank Robinson | 1.120 |
| Gavy Cravath | 1.105 |
| Carl Yastremski | 1.023 |
| Al Rosen | 1.020 |
| Ty Cobb | 1.002 |
| Joe Medwick | 1.000 |
| Johnny Mize | 0.956 |
| Albert Pujols | 0.902 |
| Hank Greenburg | 0.852 |
| Bary Bonds | 0.825 |
| Mike Schmidt | 0.724 |
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